

From: [REDACTED]
To: [A303 Stonehenge](#)
Subject: Redetermination of A303 Stonehenge scheme
Date: 04 April 2022 23:14:28

I strongly object to the scheme for the following reasons, amongst others:

National Highways has not:

- made any changes to the Scheme to take the 2021 World Heritage Committee [Decision](#) into account;
- acknowledged that the Secretary of State found the Scheme's impact on the proposed western cutting area would be "significantly adverse";
- fully assessed alternative routes less damaging to the World Heritage Site e.g., a southern bypass route would be cheaper even if there might be some problems with it, while a longer tunnel would reduce impact on the World Heritage Site;
- explored alternatives to hard engineering solutions in the context of safeguarding and enhancing the World Heritage Site - e.g. a package of measures to reduce road traffic, road emissions and improve access to the South West;
- updated the scheme construction costs; nor
- updated the carbon assessment and costs.

Other changes since the Examination closed:

- concern for climate change has increased with the latest Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change report and the need to take urgent action to reduce emissions, not increase them as any new Stonehenge road scheme would; and
- the Environment Act 2021 sets new ambitions around nature recovery.

It is utterly disgraceful and embarrassing that any such scheme should even be considered when Stonehenge is so vital to our cultural and historical heritage.

I object on behalf of not just myself but my family members [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Susan Brice, solicitor and Tiger Brice (son) of [REDACTED]

Barbara Brice [REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone